UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/690,386 | 10/20/2003 | Allen K. Murray | 355870.02301 | 2380 |
| | 7590 09/04/200 FIELD & FRANCIS LI | EXAMINER | | |
| 1900 UNIVERS | SITY AVENUE | MOSS, KERI A | | |
| SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1797 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/690,386 | MURRAY, ALLEN K. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| KERI A. MOSS | 1797 | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t | he cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|---|---|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT | TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods: | 1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final | al rejection. |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Aono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S | ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension an under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wi | th 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS | reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior | to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form to appeal; and/or | for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspon | nding number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: The amendments have not overcome the previous | rejections. Rejections are maintained as of record. (See |
| 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | " |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable it | f submitted in a senarate, timely filed amendment canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | roughliked in a separate, timely filed affection out outlooming the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>26-36</u> . | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>37-40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>none</u> . | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before a because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was | all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | status of the claims after entry is below or attached. |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does № | OT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB 13. Other: | /08) Paper No(s) |
| | |
| | /Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 |
| | |